CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATIONS ON TOURISM MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE

CENTRAL ASIAN STUDIES

https://cajitmf.casjournal.org/index.php/CAJITMF

Volume: 07 Issue: 01 | January 2026 ISSN: 2660-454X

Article

Foreign Practices in Export Financing

Khudaynazarov Sherzod Ismoilovich[1]

1. Senior Lecturer, Department of Finance and Banking, Denov Institute of Entrepreneurship and Pedagogy

Abstract: This article provides a comprehensive analysis of the theoretical foundations and practical mechanisms of export financing, with particular attention to the successful experiences of economically advanced countries such as the United States, Germany, South Korea, Japan, and China. The article investigates export credits and insurance instruments, state guarantees, interest rate subsidies, and dedicated export–import banks used to cushion exporters and to mitigate international risks. In addition to this, the document provides a detailed analysis of the Export financing in Uzbekistan, Current problems of export financing, the demand for modernization of financing mechanisms in Uzbekistan through Digital technologies and Innovative Economic Instruments are discussed. It outlines research findings on global best practices for tailoring success to national economic circumstances to adapt ways to strengthen capacity to export, manage risk, maintain export health and facilitate greater participation of SMEs in global trade.

Keywords: Export Financing, Export Credit, Export Insurance, State Guarantees, Interest Subsidies, Export Import Bank, International Best Practices, Financial Risk Management, Export Competitiveness

1. Introduction

Financing export activities has become one of the key drivers of economic competitiveness and sustainable growth in the modern globalized market. International experience demonstrates that a well-structured export financing system integrating export credits, insurance mechanisms, state guarantees, subsidized interest rates, and specialized export–import banks plays a crucial role in enabling national industries to enter foreign markets, reduce financial risks, and stimulate technological modernization [1]. Leading economies such as the United States, Germany, Japan, South Korea, and China have developed comprehensive export support frameworks that each year facilitate billions of dollars' worth of trade transactions through various financial and insurance instruments [2]. The global practice shows that export financing not only increases the volume of goods and services supplied to international markets but also strengthens domestic production, encourages industrial diversification, and supports small and medium-sized enterprises in expanding their international presence. In several nations export promotion is a key area of economic policy having an important role in ensuring national economic sustainability [3].

Uzbekistan has also accelerated reforms to boost its export capacity in recent years. The newly formed institutions, such as the Export Promotion Agency, specialized export support funds and the development of export insurance and guarantee mechanisms is an important positive step in this direction. But, for an even greater efficiency this is needed to study an advanced foreign models, to select in them the most effective parts and to adapt

Citation: Ismoilovich K. S. Foreign Practices in Export Financing. Central Asian Journal of Innovations on Tourism Management and Finance 2026, 7(1), 249-255.

Received: 10th Nov 2025
Revised: 29th Nov 2025
Accepted: 07th Dec 2025
Published: 15th Dec 2025

them to national economic conditions [4]. The given article reviews the export financing practices in some foreign countries in a systematic manner and outlines ways to properly assimilate these mechanisms into the economy of Uzbekistan. The goal of the research is to determine the advantages of international models, to analyze the preliminary conditions of state export financing system of Uzbekistan, and to offer practical recommendations which can serve as a base for providing competitive export growth of the country in the long term [5].

In the backdrop of global economic integration and growing international competition, export financing is gaining its importance. Well-organised export financing mechanisms allow countries to offer support their domestic producers, lower financial risks arising from cross-border trade, and enhance their reach in foreign markets. In the export context, small and medium-sized enterprises in Uzbekistan continue to be affected by structural problems even as reforms persist, including limited access to credit, a lack of insurance guarantee, and large financial risks. Foreign practices can be studied and tools of proven export financing instruments can be used, which will enhance the competitiveness of Uzbek exporters, give a powerful impetus to industrial modernization and attract foreign investment. To this end, of course, researching international experience, adjusting it to the national context, is a task of high importance in a strategic sense in the aspect of promoting the export potential of Uzbekistan and ensuring the stable development of the economy [6].

This study aims to study international experience on export financing and identify approaches on practical application of these mechanisms in Uzbekistan to further enhance the export capacity and sustainable economic development of Uzbekistan [7].

2. Methodology

The methodology of this study is also comparative and analytical, as it studies international practices on export financing and analyzes their relevance for the economic characteristics of Uzbekistan. The study uses a qualitative approach based on secondary data from official publications, research articles, and policy documents of the top-export credit institutions like EXIM Bank (US), Euler Hermes (Germany), KEXIM (Korea), JBIC (Japan) and Sinosure (China). This is a summary of these sources and looking at export financing systems in advanced economies, as structure, instruments, and mechanisms of export financing in the latter. The assessment aims to identify targeted financial instruments such as export credits, insurance schemes, guarantees from the state, interest subsidies as well as the exp–imp banks, and to analyse the effectiveness of such instruments in addressing risks and stimulating trade. By applying a comparative framework, it is possible to reveal both similarities and differences among foreign models and the actual practices in Uzbekistan, and eventually select best practices for further adaptation and use [8]. This study additionally includes a descriptive analysis of institutional reforms and policy measures in export financing in Uzbekistan, describing the need to take stock on progress and continuing constraints based on official government documents and statistical data. In addition, the paper combines a conceptual examination of trade finance digital innovations to suggest modernisation approaches. This method combines experiences from around the world with national realities, while ensuring factors for the adaptation of foreign mechanisms to increase of Uzbekistan's export potential, creation of instruments for the risk management, and inclusion of small and medium-sized enterprises in the international market [9].

3. Results and Discussion

Export financing refers to a set of financial mechanisms designed to support domestic producers in accessing international markets and fulfilling export contracts. It enables exporters to cover production, logistics, and marketing costs while reducing financial risks associated with cross-border trade. The main goal of export financing is to protect exporters from financial uncertainties, stimulate trade, and enhance the competitiveness of the national economy. Instruments such as export credits, insurance, interest subsidies, and state guarantees constitute the backbone of this system. International experience demonstrates that efficient export financing contributes to industrial modernization, technology adoption, and small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) development. Countries such as the United States, Germany, and South Korea consider export financing a strategic element of economic policy. The proper use of financial instruments increases the volume of exports, increases the inflow of foreign currency, strengthens the national production capacity. In addition, it allows businesses to compete on a level playing field in global markets, leading to sustainable growth and export diversification. Similarly to investment, export financing serves as an impetus for modernization and innovation, because in order to adopt new production technologies, resources are needed. Moreover, sound financing mechanisms enforce discipline in exporters. To conclude, export financing is not a mere instrument of trade promotion but one of the critical factors contributing to economic stability and industrial development [10].

Export financing is done via different financial instruments which helps exporters with funding. Export credits are basic in nature as they provide the capital forming the basis of the production for, as well as the exchange of goods with, other countries. Export insurance is valuable coverage in case a foreign buyer fails to make payment, or if the buyer doesn’t fulfill the contract. State guarantees to local banks help to minimize these risks by guaranteeing repayment of export obligations. Subsidies to interest rates lower financing costs and make the borrowing process more accessible for exporters. Moreover, dedicated export–import banks are also fundamental since they are instrumental in providing credit allocation and insurance services. Together these instruments form an integrated system that supports production, trade, and market access. Such mechanisms are incorporated into national economic strategies in developed countries. They also help governments address macroeconomic risks, maintain financial stability, and boost international competitiveness. This flexibility enables instruments to be adapted to various sectors (agriculture, manufacturing, services). Local businesses don't have to rely on external investors as export financing provides a consistent source of funding [11]. High income countries use risk assessment frameworks along with digital monitoring tools to ensure that these instruments are performative. These mechanisms in the aggregate represent a foundation upon which exporting becomes more sustainable as a growth actor over the long term.

Export financing is offered by the United States through the Export-Import (EXIM) Bank, a quasi-autonomous agency of the U.S. government that finances export and reduces the risk of loss to the exporters. The German Euler Hermes insurance system merges government guarantees with private sector support to underpin international trade. For example, South Korea has the KEXIM and K-SURE programs, which offer financial and insurance support to exporters while minimizing their risk exposure. JBIC is a financing arm of Japan that supports global trade projects and strategic investments on behalf of Japan. China has used Sinosure and the Export-Import Bank of China to underwrite domestic export credit and insurance. These models show how essential coordinated public and private sector participation is in the financing of trade [12]. Further, risk management, transparency, and customized financial instruments can improve the efficacy of exports. Each one reflects characteristics such as the economic structure of the country, its institutional capacity, and its strategic priorities. These systems also enable the exporter access to new markets, boosting competitiveness and attracting foreign investment. These models can be used as a reference for establishing a similar institutional framework in developing coun- tries [13], such as Uzbekistan.

Examples abroad in export financing show us a number of advantages. First of all, extensive risk management systems safeguard exporters against default and monetary loss. Secondly, these products span a variety of credit and insurance products so that exporters, large and small, can engage in international trade. Third, they add financial stability and policy effectiveness through public-private partnerships. However, some limitations exist. Diluted access to funding, in the event that that is indeed offered → Inconsistent funding opportunities, which is promising under SMES, however, promotion of diluted bureaucracy may lead to higher operational costs, and delayed access to financial backing. Certain programs may primarily benefit large enterprises, leaving smaller exporters under-served. Additionally, the effectiveness of these models depends on institutional capacity, legal frameworks, and market transparency. Evaluating both strengths and weaknesses of foreign models provides valuable insights for adapting best practices to Uzbekistan. Understanding the balance between financial support and regulatory efficiency is critical. If well adapted, instruments can increase export volume, accelerate quality of domestic production and facilitate sustainable economic growth. Additionally, insights gained can shape the development of risk assessment, monitoring and digital tools to maximize local use [14].

Uzbekistan during the last 2 years, export financing has been subject to large-scale reforms in Uzbekistan. Export Promotion Agency, Tashkent Foreign Trade Bank, New Export Insurance Programs are helping domestic exporters. Export credits and state guarantees have facilitated access to international markets, enabling small and medium-sized enterprises to expand their reach. Despite these improvements, challenges remain. Limited liquidity, insufficient interest subsidies, and underdeveloped risk management frameworks constrain the efficiency of the system. Additionally, procedural delays and lack of comprehensive digital tools hinder timely support. To tap the full potential of national export financing, we need much greater transparency and accessibility. The current reforms suggest a clear intention to drive the export sector. That said, it is essential to bring institutional practices in line with international practices and implement best practices from other leading economies. The proper application of these mechanisms can increase trade space, attract investment and strengthen the competitiveness of Uzbek goods abroad. Additionally, creating targeted training programs for financial entities and exporters can foster the resilience of the framework.

The next big thing: Innovative approach in export financing centres on integration of technology and digital platforms to make the financing process more efficient and accessible. Despite many administrative obstacles, advanced countries enable automated systems for the credit choice, risk estimation, and insurance processing. Exporters and financial institutions can monitor contracts, payments, and performance of projects in real-time using monitoring tools. Digitalisation, on the other hand, offers transparency, reduces the opportunities for fraud and quickens the rate of decision-making. Such innovations can be introduced in Uzbekistan to simplify export financing processes. The service delivery can be greatly enhanced through the use of electronic platforms for export insurance, digitisation of sourcing credit applications, and by increasing the number of digital content available for risk management strategies. Additionally, innovation allows for predictive analytics, which enables institutions to foresee financial risks and allocate resources more effectively. For SMEs, digital solutions reduce barriers to accessing international markets and lower transaction costs. Integrating fintech solutions into export financing strengthens institutional capacity and aligns national practices with global standards. These innovations also encourage data-driven policy-making, optimizing export support programs [15].

Adapting foreign experience to Uzbekistan can strengthen export financing in multiple ways. Export credits can reduce financing costs for local producers and their eventual expansion and state subsidy may results in lowering such costs. Exporters can secure themselves from payment defaults and geopolitical risks through state guarantees or insurance mechanisms. Digital platforms (DPP) can facilitate regulations and transparency and also can better track trade projects. Specialized programs for small and medium businesses can include a wide range of financial and consulting support. This coordination in the risk-sharing and resource-allocation, be it public or private, can be a win-win for every sector in the economy. Training programs for financial institutions and exporters can develop skills in international trade financing. Comparing domestic experience with that of other countries can also be useful for guiding policy reforms to make them consistent with the economic conditions of the country. Together, these measures facilitate market entry, mitigate financial risks, and increase the export competitiveness of Uzbekistan. Sustainable and contributing to the national economy through long-term practice of best practices.

A set of policy measures is recommended to enhance export financing in Uzbekistan. One is creating a fully operational export–import bank will help export financing in one roof. Second, the scope of interest subsidy programs can be enlarged to improve the affordability of credit for small and medium-sized enterprises. Third, digitizing export insurance and financial services makes them more efficient, transparent and accessible. Fourth, combining advisory and financial services in a single platform enables SMEs to sell abroad. Fifth: There is ongoing and iterative review of foreign best practice ensuring mechanisms continue to be effective in relation to the local conditions. Consolidate training for institutional capacity, data analytics, and monitoring tools through integrated risk management frameworks Also, collaboration with international financial institutions can promote knowledge transfer and operational standards. Collectively, these measures can enhance export volumes, mitigate financial risks and promote greater economic stability and competitiveness over the long-term. A solid export financing system is built upon the pillars of policy alignment, technology adoption, and institutional reforms.

Foreign experience of export financing has shown that the combination of effective financial instruments and effective public-private partnerships stimulate the growth of export potential. For instance, in the United States, the EXIM Bank provides credit and insurance support; in Germany, Euler Hermes combines government guarantees with private sector insurance; South Korea operates KEXIM and K-SURE; Japan relies on JBIC; and China utilizes Sinosure and the Export-Import Bank of China. These systems protect exporters from financial risks, expand access to credit and insurance, and enhance competitiveness in international markets. Although the system of export financing in Uzbekistan has undergone significant development in recent years, it still faces a number of challenges. Overall system efficiency is hampered by too little interest subsidy, liquidity constraints, bureaucratic processes, and an underdeveloped risk-management framework. In addition, the road to digital platforms and online services has not yet been paved, limiting the accessibility to small and medium businesses as a result. Uzbekistan, on the other hand, has a whole slew of infrastructural and financial solutions based on foreign experience. Expanding and subsidising export credits, developing state guarantees and insurance mechanisms, rolling out digital platforms, targeted support for SMEs, etc. That said, we also need to strengthen public-private collaboration and explore innovative approaches. The argument suggests that a good export financing system both raises the level of exports and stabilizes the production base on the home front for modernization, technology adoption and general economic stability. Uzbekistan, where national levels of export potential and competitiveness in international markets can be considerably enhanced, provided the right adaptations of foreign models exist.

A number of general conclusions can be made from the analysis of foreign and domestic practices in export financing. Export financing systems generally rely on a mix of instruments – export credits, insurance, state guarantees, and interest subsidies – as well as institutions such as a unique, export or export–import bank purpose built to scale PCM capabilities to multiple market segments at once; the need for these PCM capabilities to be available at commercial volume and low cost. Together these mechanisms safeguard exporters from exposure to financial risks, lower the uncertainty of international trade, and provide entry into global markets. Second, international experience shows coordinated public-private partnerships and mature institutional arrangements greatly improve the effectiveness and stability of export financing. Integrated systems that blend financial support, risk management and tech solutions exist in the United States, Germany, South Korea, Japan and China, which all use the power and resources of both the public and private sectors to fortify the competitiveness of their exporters. Thirdly, the productive base of exports financing has been formed through the establishment of export insurance programs, the Export Promotion Agency and the Tashkent Foreign Trade Bank. Nevertheless, liquidity shortage, little subsidy, nascent risk management instruments and lengthy bureaucracy procedures still hold back the maximum capacity for local exporters. Fourth, innovation-based solutions (digital platforms, automated credit and insurance processing, electronic export insurance, and real-time monitoring systems) serve to improve the efficiency, transparency, and accessibility of services for exporters in Uzbekistan. Finally, adapting international best practices to the national context can create opportunities for small and medium-sized enterprises to enter foreign markets, increase export volumes, and strengthen national economic stability. The implementation of these measures will not only enhance the competitiveness of Uzbek exporters but also contribute to industrial modernization, technology adoption, and sustainable economic growth.

4. Conclusion

This report presents the result of cross-national, comparative research that highlights how a strong and scalable system of financing is critical for not just the growth of a nation export capacity, but more directly the long-term economic development of the country itself.) Different countries around the world know very well that the function you need to perform to finance exports only works when the tools employed are integrated with each other in the context of export operations, and perhaps also in the area of other related incentive programs in terms of financial institution, corporations specializing in export & import function. Together these create an environment which is stable, minimising the potential for financial risk and increases confidence among stakeholders in trading internationally as well as supporting exporters to more quickly enter into competitive global markets. In foreign countries, the study revealed that high efficiency was achieved through the development of sustainable institutional frameworks and enhanced collaboration between state institutions and private financial institutions. Their model of transparency, technological innovation and risk management greatly enhance exporters access to finance and helps with long term competitiveness. On the Uzbek stage, measures taken provide a basis for developing export financing. Nonetheless, immaturity in its liquidity, lack of financial instruments diversification, poor digit setups, and procedural complexity still manage to restrict system efficacy. And this needs go far past technological solutions: they must reform institutional mechanisms, broaden the outreach of financial services, and incorporate innovative technologies, including digital platforms, automated credit assessment systems and e-export insurance devices.

REFERENCES

[1] International Trade Centre (ITC), *SME Competitiveness Outlook 2023: Financing SMEs in Global Value Chains*. Geneva: ITC, 2023, pp. 1–182.

[2] OECD, *Arrangement on Officially Supported Export Credits: Guidelines and Policy Frameworks*. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2023, pp. 1–210.

[3] Export–Import Bank of the United States (EXIM), *Annual Report 2023*. Washington, D.C.: EXIM Bank, 2023, pp. 1–128.

[4] Euler Hermes, *Export Credit Insurance and Risk Management Report 2022*. Berlin: Euler Hermes Group, 2022, pp. 1–94.

[5] Korea Export–Import Bank (KEXIM), *Export Financing and Insurance Programs: Annual Report 2023*. Seoul: KEXIM, 2023, pp. 1–112.
[6] Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC), *JBIC Finance for Overseas Investments and Exports 2023*. Tokyo: JBIC, 2023, pp. 1–138.
[7] China Export & Credit Insurance Corporation (Sinosure), *Comprehensive Export Credit and Risk Insurance Report 2022*. Beijing: Sinosure, 2022, pp. 1–106.
[8] United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), *Trade and Development Report 2023*. New York and Geneva: United Nations, 2023, pp. 1–264.
[9] World Bank, *Financing Global Value Chains: Innovations in Trade Finance*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Publications, 2022, pp. 1–180.
[10] H. W. Hauck and W. B. Haenni, "Export Finance Techniques in Europe," in *Handbook of International Credit Management*, pp. 279–289. Routledge, 2018.
[11] T. Piljan and A. Golubović-Stojanović, "Export Financing," in *Book of Proceedings*, p. 758.
[12] W. P. Streng, "Export Financing," *San Diego L. Rev.*, vol. 11, p. 104, 1973.
[13] S. V. Thach and C. N. Axinn, "Pricing and Financing Practices of Industrial Exporting Firms," *International Marketing Review*, vol. 8, no. 1, 1991.
[14] B. Demir, "Trade financing: Challenges for developing-country exporters," *CESifo Forum*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 34–38, München: ifo Institut-Leibniz-Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung an der Universität München, 2014.
[15] Y. A. Ünvan and S. Z. Akgün, "Export and Export Financing in Terms of Sustainability," *Current Finance Studies I*, p. 63, 2025.