CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATIONS ON TOURISM MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE

https://cajitmf.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJITMF
Volume: 07 Issue: 02 | April 2026 ISSN: 2660-454X

Article

Integrated Early Warning System for Enterprise Bankruptcy in Uzbekistan

Nasiriddinov Bahouddin[1]

1. Denov Institute of Entrepreneurship and Pedagogy, Uzbekistan
* Correspondence: b.nasriddinov@dtpi.uz, ORCID: 0009-0003-8033-3197

Abstract: Against the background of further market transformations and strengthening of economic systems, the financial sustainability of an enterprise has become one of the important household factors of the macroeconomic stability and the national economic security. Although a variety of bankruptcy prediction methods exist, such as discriminant analysis and logistic regression, panel econometrics, and fuzzy logic, their straightforward application in transition economies like Uzbekistan is rarely visible in practice due to institutional specificities, accounting differences, and structural volatility. A holistic early warning framework able to be empirically validated is currently unavailable for Uzbek joint-stock companies, and traditional static models do not show adequate predictive adaptability in emerging market environments. The objective of this research is to design and test Altman Z-score, Taffler model, logistic regression, ROC analysis, panel data techniques and fuzzy-logit hybrid modelling based integrated bankruptcy prediction system from balanced panel dataset for 2022–2024. The performance of the logistic model was 87.5% accuracy and AUC = 0.89; the integrated hybrid framework performed best (accuracy 0.91; AUC = 0.93), and the Fixed Effects estimation was favored based on the Hausman test (p=0.013). The study presents a pragmatic logit-panel-fuzzy framework based on the institutional and structural features of Uzbekistan. The proposed framework increases capacity for early detection, methodological rigour and practical applicability to banks, auditors and financial managers in emerging markets.

Keywords: Bankruptcy, Panel Data, Logistic Regression, ROC Curve, Fuzzy Logic, Early Warning System, Uzbekistan

Citation: Bahouddin N. Integrated Early Warning System for Enterprise Bankruptcy in Uzbekistan. Central Asian Journal of Innovations on Tourism Management and Finance 2026, 7(2), 64-74.

Received: 15th Dec 2025
Revised: 30th Dec 2025
Accepted: 11th Jan 2026
Published: 15th Feb 2026

1. Introduction

Against the backdrop of increased market reforms and greater integration of national economies into global value chains, the financial viability of enterprises has become one of the key factors of macroeconomic stability and sustainable economic development. Strong, solvent companies guarantee the stable completion of production processes — keeping people employed — and make good, reliable taxpayers and creditors and attract investors, forming the backbone of a stable body economic. On the flip side, an erosion of corporate balance sheets creates a chain of risks — manifested in diminished solvency, rising defaults in banking book, aggregate demand compression — and, ultimately, in fiscal imbalances. Therefore, monitoring the diagnostics and proactive management of the financial sustainability of enterprises goes beyond the microeconomic level and has strategic importance for the national economic security [1].

The existing theories of the current day enable a variety of interpretations and measurements of financial sustainability, from the classic ratio analysis and Altman-type bankruptcy forecasting models to sophisticated stochastic frontier analysis and machine learning algorithms. Nevertheless, alongside this methodological abundance, a considerable gap exists between universal models for diagnosis and diagnosis of transition economies with their characteristic institutional constraints, structural asymmetries and behavioral peculiarity of economic agents. The wholesale transplant of Western-developed financial diagnostics frameworks may distort results in many of the post-Soviet republics, and Uzbekistan is no exception; accounting standards are frequently incompatible, capital markets are often underdeveloped, and the legacy of the soft budget constraint has many of the features of a third dimension of a large share of non-monetary transactions [2].

Since 2017, Uzbekistan has been implementing radical economic reforms including liberalization of the foreign exchange policy, modernization of the banking system, and large-scale privatization, and these reforms have been accelerated more rapidly and implemented effectively with far more practical results than any time in the past. Such processes have fundamentally changed the operating environment for the domestic enterprises, making them more vulnerable to the global market shocks, greater competition, and stringent credit discipline. At the same time, the corporate sector still deals with long-term issues like high fixed asset depreciation, inadequate managerial skills on financial planning, and few avenues of long-term funding. However, conventional diagnostic tools which assume stable institutional environments and developed financial markets show a reduction in predictive power and prescriptive relevance under these conditions [3].

Therefore, there is an objective need for creating a less complicated financial diagnostics system that is more specifically tailored to the peculiarities of the Uzbek economy. Such a framework has to combine traditional indicators of liquidity, solvency, profitability, and business activity with sector-specific relative measures, supplemented by qualitative evaluations of institutional factors, quality of management, and market position. In addition, the adaptation process should closely calibrate normative values, acknowledge national accounting specificities and capture vulnerability to external shocks by implementing forward-looking harmonized stress-testing elements [4].

To fill the aforementioned gap, this article presents a fully-fledged, empirically based financial diagnostics model, which is specific to the context of Uzbekistan, as one of the key objectives of the study. The framework specifically aims to evaluate the present soundness of the financial health of enterprises, highlight hidden risks, and develop evidence-based proposals for remedial action. In this way, the paper serves both as a theoretical contribution to the literature on the financial sustainability of firms in transition economies and as a practical tool for financial analysts, credit committees and policy-makers involved in the development of the corporate sector [5].

The main scientific novelty of the work is the adaptation of internationally recognized diagnostic methods to the institutional-structural-information space of Uzbekistan; an integrated multi-level assessment algorithm (retrospective analysis, current condition assessment and prospective modelling of risks) has been also developed. However, the practical significance is determined by the possibility of implementation of the proposed tools in the activities of commercial banks, auditing organizations, enterprise financial management structures, which is very important in order to enhance the resilience of the national corporate sector [6].

Literature Review

Over the last sixty years the theoretical foundations of corporate financial distress diagnostics have moved from univariate ratio analysis to progressively more complex statistical classifiers and finally to computational intelligence paradigms able to accommodate non-linearity, vagueness, and indetermination. This evolution in methodology is both a response to an increasingly complex financial landscape and a continual striving for prediction accuracy. This review provides a systematic overview of the four most established methodological strands identified in the goal of this research (i.e., classical discriminant analysis, accounting based prediction models, logistic regression and fuzzy logic theory), while at the same time highlighting the multifarious links among them, comparative advantages and contextual drawbacks which justify their modification to accommodate to transition economics like Uzbekistan [7].

Classical Discriminant Analysis: The Basic Paradigm

Quantitative bankruptcy prediction traces its origins to the seminal contributions of Edward Altman that set financial diagnostics in motion through formal statistical classification versus the previously practiced subjective interpretation of ratios. The methodological innovation of Altman was that he was able to overcome the inherent limitations of Beaver univariate approach, who focused on financial ratios one by one, which required ad hoc threshold cutoffs. Assuming multivariate normality and equal covariance matrices between solvent and distressed populations, Altman used MDA to produce a linear discriminant function that contained five weighted financial ratios: working capital/total assets, retained earnings/total assets, earnings before interest and taxes/total assets, market value of equity/book value of total debt, and sales/total assets [8].

The Altman Z-Score quickly attained paradigmatic status, showing corroboration by replication studies in contexts in relation to geography and sector. The diagnostic usefulness of it continues to be supported by recent empirical studies. The model was found to be successful in predicting the financial sustainability of Zimbabwean financial institutions both 12 and 24 months ahead of insolvency by Sibanda and Tapera in their 2025 study of Zimbabwean financial institutions, while also showing predictive accuracy could be improved further if combined with qualitative assessment of these corporations corporate governance and risk management practices. In the same vein, Spulbar et al. (2025) investigated sample of firms listed on Bucharest Stock Exchange and validated its applicability to Central and Eastern European transition economies using alternative threshold values, while noting that the domestic sample firms each must be calibrated to the unique structural tiers of its post-socialist capital market [9].

The Springate model (1978) is a parsimonius version of MDA that uses four specific ratios with a similar predictive capability but with less data requirement. A substantial refinement to the binary response model, introduced by Zmijewski 1984, is a probit based model that uses cumulative normal distribution functions to estimate probabilities while also directly tackling the econometric complications of choice based samples. Still, there are well-known limitations of discriminant analysis: the assumptions of multivariate normality and homogeneity of covariance matrices are often violated in real-world financial datasets; the models are time-varying requiring re-estimation; and the linear functional form may not fully capture necessary complex nonlinear relations between financial indicators on their way during a progressive corporate failure [10].

Logistic Regression in Accounting-Based Prediction Models

Logistic Regression (LR) was first used in the bankruptcy prediction area by Ohlson in 1980, which overcame important limitations of MDA. LR did not requires multivariate normality assumptions and gives outputs directly by applying sigmoid function to linear combinations of predictors to constrain the estimated probabilities to between zero and one.

Interpretable as conditional default probabilities. The O-Score model of Ohlson (1980) entailed a completely new selection of financial ratios, including a measure of firm size, current and past liquidity, financial structure, and operating performance, thus increasing the dimension of the feature space beyond the five indicators of Altman (1968).

The logistic regression framework has shown notable endurance as an industry standard. This makes it particularly appealing for banking industry credit scoring applications due to its parametric efficiency and the fact that it can be interpreted probabilistically. More recent work has continued to improve upon LR utilizing techniques such as regularization, and building upon variable selection methods. Vana et al. Specifically, Bhutta et al. (2018) used bayesian model averaging to find parsimonious subsest of accounting ratios that retained predictive ability (an important feature of any model) while increasing interpretability—a key feature for field deployment in supervisory contexts where relative resources are limited. They found that, in general, parsimonious models — if well specified — often provide predictive performance similar to that of more complex, higher-dimensional alternatives, indicating that this diagnostic parsimony does not have to happen at the cost of the accuracy [11].

Among broader accounting-based prediction models, CHS framework—by integrating both accounting and market-based predictors—is an integrative approach that acknowledges rich information content of stock market valuations and of financial statements (most of previous studies focusing on one of the two) [28]. Nevertheless, the limitations in depth and liquidity of domestic capital markets, the relatively small number of publicly listed enterprises and the prevalence of closed joint-stock companies and privately held companies with no market capitalization data [12] restrict the applicability of market-augmented models in transition economies as Uzbekistan.

Generalization to Non-linear and Kernel based classification

Awareness of the non-linear nature of financial distress processes prompted the creation of more flexible classification methods. Van Gestel et al. (2010) was the first to perform a thorough benchmarking of linear and non-linear kernel based classifiers, namely regularized discriminant analysis, kernel Fisher discriminant analysis, kernel quadratic discriminant analysis and least squares support vector machines (LSSVM) (more references therein). For instance, their two empirical studies on ten real-world bankruptcy data showed that the kernel-based non-linear versions nearly always show a higher percentage correctly classified and a larger area under the ROC curve than their linear counterpart [16]. Importantly, the authors found that bankruptcy prediction problems reveal at best a low degree of non-linearity, where economically significant, but marginal improvements accumulate through an optimal non-linear specification.

Pan (2025) Using Support Vector Machine (SVM) classification on dynamic accounting indices extracted from data of Chinese listed companies, the experiment achieved average SVM classification accuracy of 86.77% for three successive fiscal years. The present study addressed pseudo-healthy enterprises, firms that are solvent on average in a cross-section of firms yet showing systematic slide in the index over time, and thus extending the diagnostic window away from binary classification and towards continuous monitoring paradigms. The ability of the SVM methodology to build a hyperplane that can provide the optimal separation between the classes, along with the fact that it can easily be applied in a high-dimensional feature space and without any particular distributional assumption, makes it specially well-adapted to situation such as emerging-market environments where we expect heterogeneity in the firm populations and non-standard practices in financial reporting [13].

Fuzzy Logic and Computational Intelligence Paradigms

This means using fuzzy logic for financial distress prediction is a paradigm shift from probabilistic and statistical methods. Instead of drawing sharp lines where solvent and distressed states intermingle, fuzzy logic systems embrace the vagueness of organizational financial status, allowing partial memberships in several diagnostic classes. This ontological attitude it well matched to the way clinical reality is experienced in financial distress as primarily progressive, state transitioned, rather than binary and discrete.

Responding to the limitations imposed by environmental volatility, Judijanto and Riandari (2024) proposed a time-dependent fuzzy logic framework where real-time financial data streams are being utilized to continuously learn the degrees of truth in stock market predictions so that more accurate results can be obtained. Through a systematic review of fuzzy logic applications in finance, they found considerable potential for implementation in domains such as banking crisis management and enterprise risk evaluation, albeit recognizing the paradigm is still underexploited compared to its theoretical expectations. Fuzzy systems are particularly advantageous in the context of financial analysis in developing economies, where weighted finite numbers may be be subject to reporting lags, inflationary distortions, or limited disclosure[14], due to their ability to encapsulate linguistic variables, expert heuristic knowledge, and imprecise or incomplete information.

Neutrosophic logic is a subset of developments within fuzzy methodology, but represents a new emergent whereby indeterminacy is treated as an epistemic category in its own right — differentiable from truth (T) and falsity (F). Abdullayev et al. A novel financial distress prediction framework based on relative weighted neutrosophic valued distances based on fish swarm algorithm, (2025). It outperformed a second, similar strategy (ME-wDC) across several metrics, and fundamentally, it was explicitly engineered to overcome the inconsistency, uncertainty, and indeterminacy that defines many real-world financial problems. This research group stands out, however, because it features regional experts also suggesting an increasing academic interest in high tech diagnostics from an Eurasian economic perspective.

Although Varetto's (1998) research of genetic algorithms (GA) for insolvency was one of the first empirical studies in this area, the clever use of evolutionary computational techniques predated other studies in this field, in anticipation of more recent interest in evolutionary computational techniques. When compared with linear discriminant analysis as a baseline, both a GA-generated sequence of linear functions and a GA-generated sequence of rule-based scoring systems proved to be both operationally efficient (requiring less analyst hand insertion into the data processing pipeline and enjoying a shorter development life-cycle) and induced elements of higher-order modelling directly evident from landslide (mis)classification performance; noteworthy however was that LDA did produce classification accuracy that marginally exceeded that of the GA in the experimental configuration conducted. While modern genetic and evolutionary algorithms have come a long way since those days, Varetto's fundamental insight into the tension between statistical optimality and implementation practicality is still relevant to the transition economy context [15].

Methodological Synthesis and Research Gaps

The literature reviewed suggests layered methodologies rather than paradigm shifts. Discriminant analysis defined the empirical tradition; logistic regression extended probabilistic interpretability; kernel methods and support vector machines addressed non-linearities; and fuzzy and neutrosophic logics embraced vagueness and indeterminacy. Time series approaches and innovations do not supersede prior methods but rather build on and expand our analytic toolbox, and the current state-of-the-art increasingly advocates for hybrid or ensemble designs that combine diverse methodological strengths.

Despite this large body of literature, many gaps remain. First, there is no commonly agreed upon financial ratio taxonomy for distress prediction; model specification continues to be extremely heterogeneous across studies, and variable selection is often guided by unique data availability rather than theoretical priors or empirical consensus. 2 Most of the prediction models discussed have been developed and validated in North American and Western European datasets, with little systematic testing in post-Soviet transition economies. The various institutional particularities of these contexts, from Soviet-style remnants of industrial structures to incomplete privatization, high rates of informal economic activity and evolving regulatory regimes, fundamentally shape the way that imported diagnostic instruments work.

Third and, the most important for the current research, there was no comprehensive financial diagnostics framework developed and empirically tested for the Uzbek enterprise sector. Uzbekistan's specific blend of fast market liberalisation, slow privatisation, state-guided credit rationing and the peculiarities of national sets of accounts eliminates the possibility of transplanting calibrated models that work in other jurisdictions. Herein lies the main problem: what this research tries to tackle by condensing the derived theoretical and methodological advancements into an adaptive diagnostic framework in line with Uzbekistan´s contextual and structural features.

2. Methodology

Using Panel data analysis (2022–2024) and Logistic Regression Modeling approach, ROC curve validation, and fuzzy membership integration. The financial ratios are WCR, ROA, Debt ratio, Asset Turnover.

This research uses a balanced three-year panel dataset (2022–2024) of joint-stock companies subject to the Uzbek accounting standards. Financial statements were obtained from the formally presented reports and were harmonized for cross-sectional and time dimensions. Takeaway: We use a sequential analytical approach to the empirical strategy. Initially, classical bankruptcy diagnostics such as Altman Z-score and the Taffler model are computed to provide the baseline distress classifications against which to benchmark (i) expert model outcomes. Second, we use a multivariate logistic regression model to predict the probability of financial distress as a function of the crucial financial ratios, using Working Capital Ratio (WCR), Return on Assets(ROA), Debt Ratio, and Asset Turnover. We then utilize maximum likelihood estimation, and we assess statistical significance using z-statistics and p-values. Third, ROC curve analyses and confusion matrix metrics are used to evaluate classification accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision, and overall fit with McFadden's pseudo-R2 validating model discriminatory power. Fourth, estimating panel regressions with Fixed Effects and Random Effects take into considerations temporal dynamics and firm-specific heterogeneity respectively; the Hausman test is subsequently be used to choose the consistent estimator. Last, to detect early-stage vulnerability under uncertainty, we introduce a fuzzy-logit hybrid mechanism that converts the probabilistic outputs into scores of graded membership. The integrated logit–panel–fuzzy architecture contributes dynamic robustness, probabilistic interpretability, and contextual adaptability to evolving market conditions.

3. Results and Discussion

Model accuracy exceeded acceptable research thresholds. ROC curve analysis confirmed strong discriminatory power. Confusion matrix results indicated reliable classification of distress cases.

Table 1. Logistic Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-value	p-value
Constant	-1.842	0.621	-2.96	0.003
WCR	-2.135	0.742	-2.87	0.004
ROA	-4.521	1.203	-3.75	0.000
Debt Ratio	3.114	0.988	3.15	0.002
Asset Turnover	-1.284	0.563	-2.28	0.022

Table 1 presents the Fixed and Random Effects panel regression results assessing the impact of financial ratios on enterprise distress over time. Across both specifications, Working Capital Ratio and Return on Assets exhibit statistically significant negative coefficients, confirming that improvements in liquidity and profitability reduce

bankruptcy probability. Conversely, the Debt Ratio maintains a positive and significant relationship, indicating that higher leverage increases financial vulnerability. Asset Turnover demonstrates a negative effect, suggesting that operational efficiency mitigates distress risk. The Hausman test (p = 0.013) supports the Fixed Effects model as the consistent and preferred estimator.

Table 6 shows logistic regression coefficients indicating the statistically negative role of liquidity (WCR) and profitability (ROA) on the likelihood of financial distress ($p < 0.05$). This proves that improved management of working capital and better asset profitability have impact on reducing bankruptcy risk.

On the other hand, the Debt Ratio exhibits a positive and highly significant coefficient, indicating that increase in leverage sharply raises the odds of default. Likewise, Asset Turnover displays a negative relationship, meaning that a more efficient operation reduces financial distress.

The overall classification accuracy (87.5%) and AUC (0.89) provide confidence in the model's robustness with high discriminatory power to distinguish between distressed and non-distressed enterprises.

Table 2. Model Performance Metrics

Metric	Value
Accuracy	0.875
Sensitivity	0.857
Specificity	0.882
Precision	0.750
F1-score	0.800
AUC	0.890
McFadden R^2	0.31

Table 2 summarizes the performance metrics of the logistic regression model used for bankruptcy prediction. The overall classification accuracy of 87.5% indicates strong predictive reliability. Sensitivity (0.857) demonstrates the model's high capability to correctly identify distressed enterprises, while specificity (0.882) reflects a low false-positive rate. The precision value of 0.750 and F1-score of 0.800 confirm a stable balance between detection accuracy and recall. The AUC of 0.890 evidences strong discriminatory power, and McFadden's R^2 (0.31) suggests a satisfactory model fit for financial distress modeling.

Performance indicators demonstrate balanced predictive quality:

High sensitivity → effective detection of risky firms

High specificity → low false alarm rate

F1-score (0.80) → stable precision-recall balance

McFadden's R^2 (0.31) suggests a good model fit for cross-sectional financial distress modeling.

Table 3. Fixed Effects (FE) Panel Regression

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value
WCR	-1.982	0.701	0.006
ROA	-3.875	1.115	0.001
Debt Ratio	2.845	0.954	0.004
Asset Turnover	-1.102	0.520	0.031
R^2 (within)	0.42		

Row 3 of Table 3 shows the results of the Fixed Effects (FE) panel regression for the within-firm determinants of financial distress across time. The coefficients suggest that an increase in liquidity (WCR) and profitability (ROA) decreases the likelihood of distress significantly, with negative ($p < 0.01$) and statistically significant estimates ($p < 0.01$), respectively. On the other hand, the Debt Ratio exhibits a direct and significant association, verifying that additional leverage leads to greater insolvency risk. Finally, even though the Asset Turnover has a negative effect, implying that operational efficiency reduces the risk of financial vulnerability. The within R^2 of 0.42 highlights that temporal financial fundamentals explain a large amount standard of variance.

The FE model indicates that the mean increase in liquidity and profitability within firms decreases distress probability significantly over time. The medium within R^2(0.42) suggests temporal financial dynamics are non-trivial in explaining understanding distress transformation.

The Hausman test (p=0.013), validates the preference of the Fixed Effects estimator against the between and random-effects model due to firm specific effects being correlated with regressors.

Table 4. Random Effects (RE) Panel Regression

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	p-value
WCR	-1.754	0.689	0.010
ROA	-3.542	1.089	0.002
Debt Ratio	2.601	0.912	0.006
Asset Turnover	-0.998	0.498	0.041
R^2 (overall)	0.38		

The Random Effects (RE) panel regression results assessing the financial distress of the enterprises are presented in Table 4. The negative and statistically significant coefficients associated with Working Capital Ratio and Return on Assets suggest that an increase in liquidity and profitability decreases the chances of bankruptcy. On the contrary, the Debt Ratio is shown to have a positive significance effect establishing that more leverage increases financial risk. Similarly Asset Turnover is negatively related, highlighting the stabilizing role of operational efficiency. The overall R^2 is 0.38 indicating moderate explanatory power, but slightly lower than the Fixed Effects specification.

While Random Effects (RE) coefficients are still statistically significant, the marginally lower explanatory power (R^2 overall = 0.38) signify slightly less robustness compared to FE. The FE model is bias-correct estimator, according to the Hausman test result.

Hausman Test Result

Hausman $\chi^2 = 8.74$

p-value = 0.013

Conclusion: Fixed Effects model is preferred.

The integrated approach combining logistic regression and panel econometrics provides:

- Strong predictive accuracy
- Temporal robustness
- Reduced estimation bias
- Improved early warning capability

Compared to standalone static models, the integrated logit-panel framework offers superior methodological reliability and practical applicability for financial distress monitoring in emerging markets

Table 5. Comparative Analysis Of Bankruptcy Prediction Models[1]

Criteria	Altman Z-score	Taffler Model	Logistic Regression	Integrated Model
Model Type	Discriminant Analysis	Accounting Ratio Model	Probabilistic Model	Hybrid (Discriminant + Logit + Panel + Fuzzy)
Data Requirement	Financial Ratios + Market Value	Accounting Ratios Only	Financial Ratios	Panel Data + Ratios + Fuzzy Variables
Time Dynamics	Static	Static	Static (unless panel used)	Dynamic (Panel-based)
Probability Output	No (Score Classification)	No (Score Classification)	Yes (Probability)	Yes (Hybrid Probability Score)
Accuracy	0.72	0.75	0.87	0.91
AUC	0.74	0.76	0.89	0.93
Early Detection Ability	Moderate	Moderate	High	Very High
Adaptability to Emerging Markets	Limited	Good	High	Very High
Overall Performance	Baseline Model	Improved Baseline	Strong Model	Best Performance

[1] Accuracy and AUC values are based on empirical panel dataset analysis (2022–2024).

Table 5 presents a comparative analysis of bankruptcy prediction models, highlighting differences in methodological structure, data requirements, predictive accuracy, and adaptability. Classical models such as Altman Z-score and Taffler provide static score-based classification with moderate accuracy (0.72–0.75) and limited adaptability to emerging markets. Logistic regression improves performance through probabilistic output and higher accuracy (0.87) with strong AUC (0.89). The integrated hybrid model, combining discriminant analysis, logit, panel data, and fuzzy logic, demonstrates the highest accuracy (0.91) and AUC (0.93), offering dynamic, highly adaptable early warning capability.

presents a comparative review of models for predicting bankruptcy, focusing on the dissimilarities in their methodological framework, data requirements, performance, and application. Classical models like Altman Z-score and Taffler are typical score-based gait, providing relatively low accuracy (0.72–0.75) along with low level of interpretation to upcoming markets. Logistic regression provides better performance using probability estimates, scoring higher (0.87) with strong AUC (0.89). The highest accuracy (0.91) and AUC (0.93) of integrated model, hybrid of discriminant analysis, logit, panel data and fuzzy logic provide dynamic and highly adaptable early warning power.

A comparative assessment of bankruptcy prediction models uncovers a clear hierarchy in performance by the techniques analyzed. The Edward Altman Z-score and the Richard Taffler model (the class discriminant models in this case) provide a good baseline for financial distress classification. Nonetheless, these are static methods and do not have a probabilistic interpretation, which might limit the predictive flexibility of CFs, particularly when they are applied to emerging market settings that are often associated with structural time-varying volatility.

To sum up some related concepts, the probabilistic nature of the logistic regression framework allows the model to outperform traditional, stand-alone classical models due to more robust classification performance. ROC validation indicates that stronger discrimination (higher AUC) and more accurate identification of distressed enterprises results (higher sensitivity and specificity)

However, the fused model (i.e., the fused discriminant analysis, logistic regression, panel data econometrics and fuzzy logic) exceeds any of the single approaches. With its dynamic structure, it reflects temporal effects, firm-specific heterogeneity, and gradual mode of financial decay. This new hybrid probability mechanism, also improves the sensitivity of early detection while reducing Type I and Type II error rates.

What results in the strongest combination of methodologically sound and application-oriented early warning system for enterprises in Uzbekistan is the integrated framework. It provides an increase in prediction accuracy, a better ability of adapting to novel market circumstances, and a more dominant analytical depth when compared to classical models performing independently.

4. Conclusion

That is an impressive capability. The difference of 1–2 years in the warning window is considerable simply because it shifts the response from emergency fire-fighting to strategic preventive care.

Here is the value of this particular time-frame, and continuing to such a lesser degree, the challenge it brings.

Creating the Business Advantage (1 to 2 Years is the "Sweet Spot")

Out of the Red Zone: Old-school ratios (e.g. the Altman Z-Score) frequently vary "red" just 3–6 months ahead of an event crash. After that legal recourse is limited, and stigma is sky-high.

Corporate Overshoot: If you have 12–24 months left, you typically still have some positive EBITDA, trade credit and management bandwidth. This enables operational turnarounds (i.e., divestitures, labor contract re-negotiations) rather than simply financial engineering.

Access to Capital: Going concern is much easier to raise equity capital or to attract the "white knight" investor when the default clock runs in years versus weeks.

Implementation Challenge (Fals Positives & Cost)

On the face of it, the underlying technical detection is state of the art and well developed, but the deployment of such a system is usually a behavioral economics problem: The "Crying Wolf" Risk: If the system flags 20 companies and only 5 actually go bankrupt, management and boards may completely ignore such an alert because they do not want to take on the burden of restructuring based on a probabilistic alert.

Attribution — If bankruptcy is avoided, then it is hard to lay the credit for that at the feet of the early warning system. The company is now, executives tell us, in better shape due to the actions they took, not the Algorithm which flagged it 18 months earlier after all.

Get the most out of this period

And so, to make good use of this lead time, the system output is much more than a simple "Risk Score." It should trigger specific protocols:

Pre-audit Q4 cycle: Formalizing a review of "Going Concern" assumptions with auditor communication

Liquidity Stress testing: One of the reasons why a firm might want be able to run extreme negative scenarios (e.g. 30% revenue drop) is to be able to be prepared to ask for a covenant waiver or apply for a new credit line before it is too late

Supply Chain Firewalls: Based on the detected stress, is the stress a threat to important customers or suppliers?

Is this system in a pilot phase and/or are you looking at analysing how to increase uptake of the system by risk managers? The technical detection is frequently the simple bit however, regarding people to act on probabilistic information is the harder frontier.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Miller и P. H. Friesen, «A Longitudinal Study of the Corporate Life Cycle», *Management Science*, т. 30, вып. 10, сс. 1161–1183, 1984, doi: 10.1287/mnsc.30.10.1161.
[2] D. W. Hosmer и S. Lemeshow, *Applied Logistic Regression*, 2-е изд. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 2000.
[3] D. N. Gujarati, *Basic Econometrics*, 4-е изд. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 2004.
[4] T. V. Gestel, B. Baesens, J. A. K. Suykens, и J. Vanthienen, «Benchmarking Least Squares Support Vector Machine Classifiers», *Machine Learning*, т. 54, вып. 1, сс. 5–32, 2004, doi: 10.1023/B:MACH.0000008082.80435.9a.
[5] M. E. Porter, *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York, NY: Free Press, 1985.
[6] J. M. Wooldridge, *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*, 2-е изд. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2010.
[7] L. E. Greiner, «Evolution and Revolution as Organizations Grow», *Harvard Business Review*, т. 50, вып. 4, сс. 37–46, 1972.
[8] J. A. Ohlson, «Financial Ratios and the Probabilistic Prediction of Bankruptcy», *Journal of Accounting Research*, т. 18, вып. 1, сс. 109–131, 1980, doi: 10.2307/2490395.
[9] W. H. Beaver, «Financial Ratios as Predictors of Failure», *Journal of Accounting Research*, т. 4, сс. 71–111, 1966, doi: 10.2307/2490171.
[10] E. I. Altman, «Financial Ratios, Discriminant Analysis and the Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy», *The Journal of Finance*, т. 23, вып. 4, сс. 589–609, 1968, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1968.tb00843.x.
[11] L. A. Zadeh, «Fuzzy Sets», *Information and Control*, т. 8, вып. 3, сс. 338–353, 1965, doi: 10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X.
[12] M. E. Zmijewski, «Methodological Issues Related to the Estimation of Financial Distress Prediction Models», *Journal of Accounting Research*, т. 22, сс. 59–82, 1984, doi: 10.2307/2490859.
[13] D. L. Lester, J. A. Parnell, и S. Carraher, «Organizational Life Cycle: A Five-Stage Empirical Scale», International *Journal of Organizational Analysis*, т. 11, вып. 4, сс. 339–354, 2003, doi: 10.1108/eb028979.
[14] R. J. Taffler, «The Assessment of Company Solvency and Performance Using a Statistical Model», *Accounting and Business Research*, т. 13, вып. 52, сс. 295–308, 1983, doi: 10.1080/00014788.1983.9729767.
[15] H. Mintzberg, *The Rise and Fall of Strategic Planning*. New York, NY: Free Press, 1998.